

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|---|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/632,542 | · - | 07/30/2003 | Mellen Thomas-Benedict | 7018-04 | 2676 |
| 3897 | 7590 | 12/15/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| SCHNEC | | NECK | ALAVI, ALI | | |
| P.O. BOX SAN JOSE | | 109-0005 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | | 2875 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | \mathcal{W}_{ℓ} | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/632,542 | THOMAS-BENEDICT, MELLEN | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Ali Alavi | 2875 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| • | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-12,16-34,37-40,44,45 and 48-5</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5-8,13-15, 26-29, 35-36,41-43, 46 and 8</u>) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. 2 is/are rejected. d 47 is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | ₽ Г . | | | | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ∧□ - | (070,440) | | | | | |
| 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/632,542

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 67, 69, 71, 77, 87, and 89 in figures 5-7. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because in figure 2, body component "39" should be changed to "19" as indicated in spec. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several

Art Unit: 2875

views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4, 16-25, 30-31, 36-40, 44, 45, and 48-52 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 6-9, and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,524,329. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the instant claims are broader for example a method of illuminating an animal's body vs. a method of illuminating a human's body.

Art Unit: 2875

Claims 9-12, and 32-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,524,329 in view of Hart et al US 2003/0167080.

Hart teaches that a light delivery wrap used for treatment of animal's tissue or skin including animal's head. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use a light delivery module to treat animal's head as taught by Hart.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-8, 13-15, 26-29, 35-36, 41-46, and 47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 5 is objected to because in part recites "... providing said light delivery module with an attachment mechanism that attaches said light delivery module to a selected region of skin of said animal; and providing a portable power supply system, connected to and supplying power for said light delivery module." Claim 13 is objected to because in part recites "...the delivery module, a light delivery module that fits within said animal's mouth and illuminates a selected portion of an interior of said animal's mouth." Claim 35 is objected to because in part recites "... further comprising: a second light delivery module and a second attachment mechanism that attaches said light delivery module to a second selected region of skin of said animal, where the second region is adjacent to said first region; wherein the second light delivery module is connected to said power supply module so that delivery of electrical power to the

Application/Control Number: 10/632,542

Art Unit: 2875

second light delivery module is integrated with delivery of power to said first light delivery module."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Salansky (US Pat. No 6,063,108) discloses a method and apparatus for photon therapy. Savage, Jr. Et al (US 2004/0138726) discloses a portable light delivery apparatus for delivering light to a human's body.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Ali Alavi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2365. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. Tuesday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandy O'Shea can be reached at (571) 272-2378 or you may fax your inquiry to the **Central Fax** at (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ali Alavi Patent Examiner Page 5